From the \$170 (1.) INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY ERIC B. MEYERTONS CONLEY, ROSE & TAYON. P.C. P. O. BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398 WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66) Date of Mailing 06 SEP 2001 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within TWO months 5259-03701 from the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US01/00724 08 JANUARY 2001 06 JANUARY 2000 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61B 17/56 and US Cl.: 606/61 Applicant SPINAL CONCEPTS, INC. 1. This written opinion is the first . (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Priority Ш Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application IIIV Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension., see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

Docketed PEDRO PHILOGENE Day And freehone No. (703) 308-9259 examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 06 MAY 2002 Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Authorized officer Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No.

# WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/00724

1. B	4818 01	the opinion							
1. With		to the elements of the interna							
X	the int	ernational application as	originally fi	iled					
X		scription:							
		1-15					, as c	originally filed	
		NONE					, filed with t	the demand	
	pages	NONE		, filed v	vith the letter of	·			
X	the cla	ims:							
	pages	1.00					00 04	olumba Cl. A	
	pages			. as amen	ded (together w	ith any sta	tement) under	ginally filed	
	pages								
	pages	NONE	, filed v	with the letter	of	,		domand	
	ل مانه								
X	the dra	_							
	pages pages _	NONE							
				filed with	the letter of		, filed with the	he demand	
	P#8*0 _			, med with	the fetter of		<del></del>		
X	the sequ	uence listing part of the d	escription:						
	pages	NONE					, as origi	nally filed	
	pages _	NONE					filed with th	he demand	
	pages _	NONE		, filed with	the letter of		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
□ t		uage of publication of that age of the translation furnish					on (under Rules	s 55.2 and/	
3. With	regard t	o any <b>nucleotide and/or an</b> basis of the sequence listing	nino acid seq g:	uence disclosed	I in the internation	al applicatio	n, the written o	ppinion was	
	ontaine	d in the international app	olication in p	printed form.					
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.								
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.								
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.								
		ment that the subsequent onal application as filed h				not go bey	ond the disclo	osure in the	
T b	he stater een furn	ment that the information rished.	ecorded in co	omputer reada	ble form is identi	cal to the w	vriten sequence	e listing has	
ı. X 1	The ame	ndments have resulted ir	the cancell	lation of:					
	X the	description, pages	none						
	Y		none						
			none						
т Ті	his opin	ion has been drawn as if (s he disclosure as filed, as in	some of) the	amendments he Supplementa	ad not been made I Box (Rule 70 20	e, since they	have been co	nsidered to go	
* Replace	ement sh	eets which have been furnish s "originally filed".					er Article 14 ar	re referred to	

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/00724

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	5-31,36,58,61-117	YES
	Claims	1-4,32-35,37-57,59,60,118-131	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	5-31,61-117	YES
	Claims	1-4,32-60,118-131	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-131	MAN
manara appuantin (ia)	Claims	NONE	YES NO

### 2. citations and explanations

Claims 1-4,32-35,37-57,59,60,118-131 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Bono (5,954,722).

With respect to claims 1,131 Bono discloses a bone plate system (10) comprising a plate (12) configured to stabilize a bone structure (11) a hole (14) passing through the plate; a ring (16) positionable within the hole and fastener (18) positionable through the ring (16), the fastener configured to couple the plate to a bone; wherein a portion of the ring engages a groove in the fastener during use to secure the fastener to the ring; as best seen in figure 6.

With respect to claims 2-4, Bono discloses all the limitations, as set forth.

With respect to claim 32, Bono discloses a bone plate system (10) comprising a plate (12) a hole (14) through the plate, the hole extending from a top of the plate to a bottom of the plate, and wherein the a portion of the plate forms a wall of the hole; as set forth in column 2, lines 1-23, a fastener (18) for coupling the plate to a bone, the fastener comprising a head and a shank (FIG.5); and ring (16) for coupling the fastener to the plate, the ring being positionable within the hole between the plate and the fastener, the ring comprising an outer surface an inner surface and a ridge on a portion of the inner surface; as best seen Fig.5, wherein the ridge on the ring is configured to couple with the head to secure the fastener to the ring during use; as best seen in figure 5.

With respect to claims 33-35,37-57,59, 60, Bono discloses all the limitations, as set forth.

With respect to claims 118-130, the method steps, as set forth, would have been inherently carried out in the operation of the device, as set forth above.

Claims 36,58 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Bono (5,954,722) in view of Schlapfer et al. (5,501,684).

With respect to claim 36, it is noted that Bono did not teach of a ring with a plurality of notches in the ring; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Schlapfer et (Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)

### WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/00724

	Sup	plem	ental	Box
--	-----	------	-------	-----

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

#### TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

# V. 2. REASONED STATEMENTS - CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS (Continued):

al evidences the use of a ring with notches for form-locking and force-locking the head of the screw.

Therefore, given the teaching of Schlapfer et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate notches in the ring of Bono to form-locking and force-locking the head of the screw.

With respect to claim 58, Schlapfer et al disclose device wherein the fastener shank and the additional fastener shank extend from the bottom of the plate in diverging directions relative to each other; as best seen in Fig.5..

Claims 5-31,61-117 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a bone plate system where a ring comprising a finger extending from the projection substantially parallel to the base, the finger configured to secured the head in the ring during use. also, comprising a locking mechanism conprising a top and a plurality of elongated members extending from the top the locking mechanism configured to insert in the opening of the head with a portion of the elongated members extendable through the apertures in the head of the fastener during use.

----- NEW CITATIONS -----NONE

DOTE TIDE CALL IN